E-Mall Preview

		-						
7000004		84	3 A	. n	Mail		ın.	4
/ オトンフォコ	-	IVITS.	MAKIN	K .	MEH-	m	HJ.	н
7362281		****	10100-7		, ,			4

Out ID:

From:

Date:

6/5/2006 9:37:21 PM

Subject: FTC's proposed "Business Opportunity" rule (16 CFR Part 437)

HI, My name is Mary R. Neil and my husband and I vote for you every election. I have even worked on your campaign locally handing out stickers, etc. Since we support you we are asking you to support us. I am an independent Associate of Pre-Paid Legal Services. Inc. I am asking you to stop the FTC's proposed "Business Opportunity" rule(16 CFR Part 437). I have been with Pre-Paid Legal Services, Inc. for years and I am concerned that this rule will have a negative effect on my home-based business and will devastate a lot of households

The FTC would require a 7 day waiting period

before new Associates can sign up as a Pre-Paid Legal Sales Associate. That is a little too much government into my freedom of choice. If there was a rule that we could go to Wal-Mart and shop but couldn't take it home for 7 days and Wal-Mart had to supply us with a list of the last 10 people who bought what we bought and how many people in the last 10 years had a complaint, Wal-Mart would be out of business over night and everyone would be at Target. We have enough working against us in small business without more regulations.

The FTC would require me to provide the names and telephone numbers of the 10 nearest prior purchases of the "Business Opportunity" to all potential Associates.

I do this part time as well as work full, take care of my diabled Vlet Nam Vet husband, my children, grandchild, and aging mother. I don't need any more government rules and regulation to contend with.

Oh, and they want us to provide a list of law suits files against the company going back 10 years. I guess it doesn't matter whether they were legitimate or bogus. It sounds to me like someone is trying to put home based businesses and their parent companies out of business. If they are worried about people spending money to get into a business and then don't do anything with it, they could say any business that costs over \$100 to join would have to provide those things. That would be good because it would keep all the costs down. My question is: What is the purpose of this rule anyway. Please respond. I would like to know where you stand on this.

Thank-you. God Bless You, Mary R. Neil

==== Original Formatted Message Starts Here ====

<APP>CUSTOM <PREFIX>Mrs.</PREFIX> <FIRST>Mary</FIRST> <MIDDLE>R. </MIDDLE>

http://va06:800/tc_asp/ima_text_print.asp?xxx=49042.32&tp=O&arr=2340792

6/13/2006